IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

Special Civil Application Nos. 552, 553, 555, 562,

563, 564, 567, 569, 572, 574, 575, 577, 579, 581, 583 & 584

of 2001

(16 matters)

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

==========

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements? : NO

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement? : NO

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder? : NO

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

GE LIGHTING (INDIA) LTD

Versus

AMBALAL G MAKWANA C/O GE LIGHTING (INDIA) EMP.

Appearance:

NANAVATI ASSOCIATES for Petitioner

MR NR SHAHANI for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE D.C.SRIVASTAVA

Date of decision: 26/04/2001

COMMON ORAL JUDGEMENT

#. These petitions are proposed to be disposed of finally through a common judgment at the admission stage.

#. Heard Shri Nanavati for the petitioners and Shri NR Shahani for the respondents. The order under challenge in these petitions is an interim order dated 16-1-2001 contained in Annexure-'A' passed by the Industrial Tribunal, Ahmedabad, through which, interim order was granted upto 29-1-2001. Yesterday, time was sought by Shri Nanavati for verification, whether the interim order was extended beyond 29-1-2001 by the Tribunal or not. Today, statement is made on behalf of Shri Nanavati and also by Shri NR Shahani that the Tribunal has not extended interim order beyond 29-1-2001. In view of this undisputed fact, it is stated on behalf of Shri Nanavati that all these petitions have become infructuous.

#. Shri NR Shahani, however, states that though the petitions may be dismissed as infructuous, the respondents may be permitted either to negotiate for transport facility through bus with the petitioner or to approach the Industrial Tribunal for claiming interim relief for transport facility. For this, Shri Nanavati has no objection. Shri Shahani further informs that, in view of the order dated 22-1-2001 passed by this Court, the respondents have joined at their transferred place at Limbasi on various dates after the order dated 22-1-2001.

#. In view of the above observations, all the aforesaid petitions are dismissed as infructuous. No order as to cost.

April 26, 2001. [D.C. Srivastava, J.]

/sakkaf